

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

My husband is handicapped, that is the only reason that we own the wireless phones that we have, so that he is always able to get emergency help if he needs it. We only make 1 or 2 long distance calls per year and should not be charged the same fee that even average users of long distance are charged. We use a calling card for long distance because with most plans you are charged a monthly fee of 3-5 dollars and with the USF that alone costs \$10.00 a month - when we aren't making any long distance calls!

Why don't you just charge a flat penny or two fee for each long distance call? I know people that make multiple long distance calls per day! This would be a fair way to spread the burden and probably give you more money than you are already collecting and it would not unfairing burden those that do not use long distance.

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I only use my wireless phone for safety and security. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Thank You

Sincerely,

L Wilson
594 Magnolia Ave E
St Paul, Minnesota 55101